

ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF
THE UNITED STATES, *et al.*,

Petitioner,

v.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY, *et al.*,

Respondents.

No. 24-1193

(Consolidated with Nos. 24-1261,
24-1266, 24-1271, and 24-1272)

Joint Proposed Briefing Schedule and Format

Pursuant to the Court’s order of August 22, 2024, ECF 2071335, the parties jointly propose a schedule and format for briefing these consolidated cases, which concern EPA’s Final Rule titled “Designation of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS) as CERCLA Hazardous Substances,” 89 Fed. Reg. 39,124 (May 8, 2024). Petitioners are seven industry organizations. Respondents are EPA and Michael S. Regan, EPA’s Administrator. And Respondent-Intervenors are five community and environmental organizations. Together, these parties request that the Court enter the following briefing schedule and format:

Filing	Due date	Word limit
Petitioners' opening brief	November 4, 2024	17,000 Words
Respondents' answering brief	January 17, 2025	17,000 Words
Respondent-Intervenors' brief	February 17, 2025	11,050 Words
Petitioners' reply brief	March 19, 2025	8,500 Words
Joint appendix	March 26, 2025	
Final form briefs	April 2, 2025	

Petitioners' rationale for proposed format and schedule

The proposed deadlines for Petitioners' briefs are consistent with briefing schedules in similar rule challenges. They also reflect the complexity of the subject matter, the number of arguments Petitioners intend to make, and the need to coordinate among seven Petitioners.

Petitioners' proposed word limits are also appropriate. The seven Petitioners intend to challenge the Final Rule on multiple independent grounds. In addition, their brief must cover the effects of the Final Rule on a wide variety of industries. Petitioners' members include entities that (for example) have produced or may have produced PFOA or PFOS in the past, have used or may have used PFOA or PFOS or products containing PFOA or PFOS in the past, have received or may have received waste material containing PFOA or PFOS from third parties, may find detectable PFOA and PFOS in their intake water, may collect, transport, process,

produce, or market secondary materials that are beneficially reused and may contain detectable levels of PFOA or PFOS, and may be the current or former owner of property with detectable levels of PFOA or PFOS. These entities are affected by the Final Rule in disparate ways.

A joint opening brief with 17,000 words will allow Petitioners to adequately present their multiple grounds for challenge, including by addressing the industry-specific and site-specific impacts of the Final Rule. A reply brief of half this length (8,500 words) is consistent with the proportion set forth in the Federal Rule 32(a)(7)(B)(ii).

Respondents' rationale for proposed format and schedule

The proposed schedule for Respondents' answering brief is generally consistent with briefing schedules established in similar challenges addressing EPA actions in this Court and reflects time needed for Respondents to obtain the necessary management approvals at the U.S. Department of Justice and EPA, federal holidays, and counsel's other work commitments. Respondents seek a word count equivalent to the requested word count of Petitioners' opening brief—here, 17,000 words

total—which ensures equity between the parties and will allow Respondents to fully address the arguments raised by the challengers.

Respondent-Intervenors’ rationale for proposed format and schedule

Under this Circuit’s rules, intervenors are generally allotted 70 percent the word limit that petitioners and respondents are entitled to. *See* D.C. Cir. R. 32(e)(2)(B)(i) (9,100 words for intervenor brief); Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(i) (13,000 words for principal briefs). Accordingly, when this Court extends the length of petitioners’ briefs, it routinely extends the length of intervenors’ briefs as well. *See, e.g., Western States Trucking Assn. v. EPA*, D.C. Cir. No. 23-1143, ECF# 2017811 (Sept. 19, 2023) (Respondent-Intervenor briefs of 13,200 words total); *Texas v. EPA*, D.C. Cir. No. 22-1031, ECF# 1965622 (Sept. 22, 2022) (14,700 words total), *State of Ohio v. EPA*, D.C. Cir. No. 22-1081, ECF# 1965631 (Sept. 20, 2022) (14,700 words total). Intervenors’ requested 11,050 word limit is less than 70 percent of the 17,000 word limit requested by Petitioners, and it would provide sufficient space to address the broad legal and technical challenges that Petitioners are expected to raise. Intervenors’ requested briefing deadline of February 17, 2025, one month after Respondents’ answering brief, would provide time for Intervenors to review Respondents’ brief and, consistent with this Circuit’s rules, to “avoid repetition of facts or legal arguments made” by Respondents. D.C. Cir. R. 28(d)(2).

Dated: September 9, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

TODD KIM
Assistant Attorney General
Environment & Natural Resources
Division

/s/ Andrew S. Coghlan
ANDREW S. COGHLAN
ELIZABETH BERG
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental Defense Section
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 202-514-9275 (Coghlan)
(202) 514-2795 (Berg)
Andrew.Coghlan@usdoj.gov
Elizabeth.Berg@usdoj.gov

*Counsel for Respondents EPA and
Michael S. Regan, EPA Administrator*

Andrew R. Varcoe
Stephanie A. Maloney
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER
1615 H Street, NW
Washington, DC 20062
(202) 463-5337
avarcoe@USChamber.com
smaloney@USChamber.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Chamber of
Commerce of the United States of
America*

/s/ Elbert Lin
Elbert Lin
Counsel of Record
Paul T. Nyffeler
David M. Parker
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4074
(804) 788-8200
elin@huntonAK.com
pnyffeler@huntonAK.com
dparker@huntonAK.com

Leah Pilconis
ASSOCIATED GENERAL CONTRACTORS
OF AMERICA, INC.
2300 Wilson Blvd., Suite 300
Arlington, VA 22201
(703) 837-5332
Leah.pilconis@agc.org

*Counsel for Petitioner Associated
General Contractors of America, Inc.*

Aleacia Chinkhota
AMERICAN CHEMISTRY COUNCIL
Assistant General Counsel, Legal
Services
700 2nd Street, NE
Washington, DC 20002
202-249-6131
Aleacia_Chinkhota@
americanchemsitry.com

*Counsel for Petitioner American
Chemistry Council*

Heather Lyons
General Counsel
Rebecca Andrechak
Assistant General Counsel
Recycled Materials Association
1250 H Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 662-8500
hlyons@recycledmaterials.org
randrechak@recycledmaterials.org

*Counsel for Petitioner Institute of
Scrap Recycling Industries, Inc., d/b/a
Recycled Materials Association*

Matthew Z. Leopold
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP
2200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW,
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 955-1500
mleopold@huntonAK.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Chamber of
Commerce of the United States of
America, Associated General
Contractors of America, Inc., National
Waste & Recycling Association, and
American Chemistry Council*

/s/ Christopher L. Bell
Christopher L. Bell
D.C. Bar # 412857
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 6700
Houston, TX 77002
(713) 374-3556
bellc@gtlaw.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Institute of Scrap
Recycling Industries, Inc., d/b/a
Recycled Materials Association*

Richard S. Moskowitz
Tyler Kubik
AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL
MANUFACTURERS
1800 M Street, NW
Suite 900 North
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 457-0480 (telephone)
(202) 457-0486 (facsimile)
rmoskowitz@afpm.org
tkubik@afpm.org

*Counsel for Petitioner American Fuel
& Petrochemical Manufacturers*

/s/ Brittany M. Pemberton
Brittany M. Pemberton
BRACEWELL LLP
2001 M Street N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 828-5800 (telephone)
(800) 404-3970 (facsimile)
Brittany.Pemberton@bracewell.com

*Counsel for Petitioner American Fuel
& Petrochemical Manufacturers*

/s/ Susan Parker Bodine
Susan Parker Bodine
EARTH & WATER LAW LLC
1455 Pennsylvania Ave., NW,
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004

*Counsel for American Forest & Paper
Association*

/s/ Jonathan Kalmuss-Katz
JONATHAN KALMUSS-KATZ
Earthjustice
48 Wall Street Floor 15
New York, New York 10005
(212) 823-4989
jkalmusskatz@earthjustice.org

*Counsel for Respondent-Intervenors
Clean Cape Fear, Environmental
Justice Task Force, Fight for Zero,
Merrimack Citizens for Clean Water,
and Natural Resources Defense Council*

Certificates of Compliance and Service

I certify that this filing complies with Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(1)(E) because it uses 14-point Times New Roman, a proportionally spaced font.

I also certify that this filing complies with Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(2), because by Microsoft Word's count, it has 686 words, excluding the parts exempted under Fed. R. App. P. 32(f).

And I certify that on September 9, 2024, I filed the foregoing with the Court's CMS/ECF system, which will notify each party.

Dated: September 9, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew S. Coghlan
ANDREW S. COGHLAN
Counsel for Respondents